1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8		DISTRICT COURT ORNIA, SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION
10	CARSON BLOCK, an individual;	Case No. 17-5367
11	Plaintiff,	COMPLAINT FOR:
12	V.	(1) Negligence; and (2) Violations of Unfair Competition
13 14	EQUIFAX, INC., a Georgia Corporation; RICHARD F. SMITH, an Individual, SUSAN MAULDIN, an Individual, MARY HANNAN, an Individual,	Law (Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq.)
15	MARY HANNAN, an Individual, GRAEME PAYNE, an Individual	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
16	GRAEME PAYNÉ, an Individual, HAROLD BOUTIN, an Individual, ROBERT FRIEDRICH, an Individual,	
17	Individual, LARA PEARSON, an	
18	Individual SHEA GIESLER, an	
19	Individual, CLIFF BARBIER, an Individual, JOE SANDERS, an Individual, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive;	
20	Defendants.	
21		
22 23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	CON	MPLAINT

1 Plaintiff Carson Block ("Block" or "Plaintiff") files this Complaint against 2 Equifax, Inc. ("Equifax" or "the Company"), and responsible officers, employees, and agents thereof, including Richard F. Smith, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Equifax, Susan Mauldin, the Chief Information Security Officer (CISO) at Equifax, Mary Hannan, the Senior Vice President, Corporate Technology Legal and Security Support at Equifax, Graeme Payne, the Vice President of IT Risk and Compliance at Equifax, Harold Boutin, the Senior Vice President - IT Strategy and Effectiveness at Equifax, Robert Friedrich, the SVP/CIO 8 Global Consumer Solutions at Equifax, Vidya Sagar Jagadam, the Vice President, 10 IT Governance, Risk & Compliance at Equifax, Lara Pearson, the Senior Director of Risk Security Programs at Equifax, Shea Giesler, the Vice President, Security 11 12 Programs at Equifax, Cliff Barbier, the Director, Security Engineering Solutions at 13 Equifax, Joe Sanders, an individual of residence unknown, was the Senior Director 14 of Security Engineering Solutions at Equifax, and DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, 15 and alleges the following based on personal knowledge, the investigation of counsel, and information and belief: 16

I. <u>INTRODUCTION</u>

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

- 1. Equifax is in the business of selling consumer credit and insurance reports and related analytics to businesses in a range of industries. As one of the three major credit reporting companies in the United States that collects and aggregates financially sensitive personally identifiable information ("PII"), Equifax is regularly entrusted with the storage, and security of PII. Equifax stores PII relating to over 800 million individual consumers and more than 88 million businesses worldwide. In the United States, the PII held by Equifax includes names, Social Security numbers, birth dates, driver's license numbers, and credit card numbers.
- 2. According to Equifax, on July 29, 2017, it discovered that "criminals exploited a U.S. website application vulnerability" ("the Vulnerability") in its

associated with inability to obtain money from accounts or being

limited in the amount of money they were permitted to obtain from their accounts, including consequential damages such as missed payments on bills and loans, late charges and fees, and adverse effects on their credit including decreased credit scores and adverse credit notations;

- e. Costs associated with time spent and the loss of productivity from taking time to address and attempt to ameliorate, mitigate and deal with the actual and future consequences of the data breach, including finding fraudulent charges, cancelling and reissuing cards, purchasing credit monitoring and identity theft protection services, imposition of withdrawal and purchase limits on compromised accounts, and the stress, nuisance and annoyance of dealing with all issues resulting from the Equifax data breach;
- f. The imminent and certainly impending injury flowing from potential fraud and identify theft posed by their credit card and personal information being placed in the hands of criminals and already misused via the sale of Plaintiffs' and Class members' information on the Internet and/or black market; and
- g. Damages to and diminution in value of personal and financial information held and exposed by Equifax.
- 6. Equifax was negligent in taking the necessary precautions required to safeguard and protect Plaintiff's PII from criminals, and also breached its duty to timely and adequately disclose the Data Breach.
- 7. Furthermore, Equifax is in violation of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, *et seq.* ("UCL") for failing to take reasonable measures in protecting Plaintiff's PII

II. THE PARTIES

8. Plaintiff Carson Block is an individual consumer and resident of San

consistently monitor and guard against the aforementioned risks.

- Francisco County, California. On or about September 8, 2017, Block entered his information on equifaxsecurity2017.com and subsequently received a message from Equifax informing him that his PII had been stolen by cybercriminals in the Data Breach. As a result of the Data Breach Plaintiff has suffered a loss of privacy, is at a continuous high risk of identity theft or other types of financial fraud, and will continue to suffer from the increased financial and mental costs necessary to
 - 9. Equifax Inc. is a multi-billion dollar corporation, incorporated in Georgia, and headquartered in Atlanta, Georgia. Equifax operates through various subsidiaries including Equifax Information Services, LLC, and Equifax Consumer Services, LLC aka Equifax Personal Solutions aka PSOL. Each of these entities acted as agents of Equifax or in the alternative, acted in concert with Equifax as alleged in this complaint.
 - 10. On information and belief, Richard F. Smith, an individual of residence unknown, was the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Equifax at the time of the Data Breach, where had had the responsibility for the operations of Equifax and failed to use his authority to strengthen Equifax's defenses against cybercrimes such as the Data Breach.
 - 11. On information and belief, Susan Mauldin, an individual of residence unknown, was the Chief Information Security Officer (CISO) at Equifax at the time of the Data Breach. On information and belief, the CISO of Equifax was directly responsible for management of Equifax's employees or officers maintaining the confidentiality, availability, and integrity of Equifax's consumer data and the security of the assets of the company, such as the PII subject to the Data Breach.
 - 12. On information and belief, Mary Hannan, an individual of residence unknown, was the Senior Vice President, Corporate Technology Legal and Security Support at Equifax at the time of the Data Breach. On information and belief, in this role at Equifax Ms. Hannan was the strategic leader responsible for IT tools support

Equifax Mr. Jagadam's was responsible for IT Governance, Risk Management, IT

Compliance with Security and Regulatory requirements, Identity & Access

Management, PCI program, IT Controls and Compliance.

26

27

28

21.

Plaintiff does not know the true names or capacities, whether

individual, associate, corporate, or otherwise, of the defendants sued herein as

DOES 1 through 25, inclusive, and Plaintiff therefore sue said defendants by such

1	fictitious names. Plaintiff will amend this Complaint to state the true names and
2	capacities of these defendants once Plaintiff discovers this information. Plaintiff is
3	informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that like Mauldin, Hannan, Payne
4	Boutin, Friedrich, Jagadam, Pearson, Giesler, Barbier, Sanders, each of the DOE
5	Defendants sued herein by a fictitious name is in some way liable and responsible to
6	Plaintiff on the facts herein alleged for Plaintiff's damages in connection with the
7	Data Breach and the negligent operations of Equifax, either as employees or officers
8	of Equifax responsible for ensuring that massive security lapses that led to the Data
9	Breach or negligent reporting that followed its discovery did not occur, or as
10	contractors, subsidiaries, or others to whom Equifax and its officers and employees
11	delegated such authority. Equifax, Smith, Mauldin, Hannan, Payne, Boutin,
12	Friedrich, Jagadam, Pearson, Giesler, Barbier, Sanders, and DOES 1 through 25,
13	inclusive shall be referred to collectively as "Defendants."
14	III. <u>JURISDICTION AND VENUE.</u>
15	22. This Court has jurisdiction pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1332 because there
16	is complete diversity of citizenship between Plaintiff and Defendants, and the
17	amount in controversy exceeds \$75,000, exclusive of interest and costs.
18	23. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Equifax because Equifax

- 23. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Equifax because Equifax maintains offices in California, conducts business in California, and has sufficient minimum contacts with California to satisfy Due Process standards.
- 24. On information and belief, the Court has personal jurisdiction over defendants Mauldin and the Doe Defendants as a result of sufficient minimum contacts with California in connection with the Data Breach and the harm caused thereby to Plaintiff Block.
- 25. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Plaintiff's claims occurred in this District.

IV. FACTUAL BACKGROUND.

A. <u>EQUIFAX'S BUSINESS OF STOCKPILING PERSONAL</u> INFORMATION

- 26. Equifax was founded in Atlanta, Georgia, as Retail Credit Company in 1899. Equifax is one of the three main credit reporting companies in the United States. The Company's business revolves around being a secure storehouse for PII, including financial information, and providing a clear financial profile of consumers that lenders and other businesses can rely on.
- 27. Equifax organizes, assimilates and analyzes data on more than 820 million consumers and more than 91 million businesses worldwide, and its database includes employee data contributed from more than 7,100 employers.
- Equifax has seen wide growth as a company based on its use of sensitive financial and personal consumer data. From 2015 to 2016, the company saw operating revenues grow 18% to \$3.14 billion. In 2016, Richard F. Smith, the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer of Equifax received a total compensation package of \$14,964,600. Despite the impact of the public disclosure of the data breach, Equifax's current market capitalization of over \$14 billion dollars.
- 29. Equifax has collected and/or stored PII regarding Carson Block, including his Social Security number, birth date, home driver's license information, and credit card information.

B. EQUIFAX'S ABYSMAL HANDLING OF A MASSIVE BREACH OF ITS STOCKPILE OF CONSUMER PERSONAL INFORMATION

30. From about May 2017 through July 2017, Equifax suffered from a self-described "website application vulnerability" which left Block's PII vulnerable to criminals and cyber-attack.

7

6

8

10

11 12

14

13

15

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

26

27

- On or about July 29, 2017, Equifax discovered that criminals had 31. exploited the "website application vulnerability" in its system and stolen the PII information of over 143 million individuals.
- 32. Presumably realizing the severe negative this information would have on Equifax's stock price, three of Equifax insiders made substantial sales of Equifax stock within days of the discovery of the massive Data Breach. On Monday, August 1, 2017, Chief Financial Officer John Gamble sold Equifax shares worth \$946,374, approximately 13 percent of his Equifax holdings. On the same day, Joseph Loughran, President of U.S. Information Solutions exercised options to dispose of Equifax stock worth \$584,099, approximately 9 percent of his Equifax holdings. On August 2, 2017, Rodolfo Ploder, President of Workforce Solutions, sold \$250,458 of Equifax stock, almost 4 percent of his holdings.
- Among them, these three Equifax senior executives sold Equifax shares 33. worth almost \$1.8 million in the days following the discovery of the data breach on July 29, 2017, all while Equifax delayed public disclosure of the breach of the millions of innocent victims that their personal information, which had been compromised due to Equifax's negligence.
- On September 7, 2017, Equifax issued a press release announcing that 34. "[c]riminals exploited a U.S. website application vulnerability to gain access to certain files" in Equifax systems. The breach began in mid-May and continued until it was discovered by Equifax on July 29, 2017. The release stated that "[t]he information accessed primarily include[d] names, Social Security numbers, birth dates, addresses and, in some instances, driver's license numbers. In addition, credit card numbers for approximately 209,000 U.S. consumers, and certain dispute documents with personal identifying information for approximately 182,000 U.S. consumers, were accessed. As part of its investigation of this application vulnerability, Equifax also identified unauthorized access to limited personal

- 3
- 5
- 8
- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13 14
- 15
- 17

- 20
- 21
- 22 23
- 24
- 26
- 27
- 28

- information for certain UK and Canadian residents." The unauthorized access potentially impacted "approximately 143 million U.S. consumers."
- 35. Upon this disclosure Equifax shares tumbled over 6 percent from around \$142 to around \$125 in after-hours trading.
- Equifax further opted to only notify consumers of the breach via mail if 36. they were among the small percentage whose credit card numbers or dispute documents were accessed. For the remaining millions of consumers, Equifax required them to proactively turn to its poorly designed, unreliable, and potentially insecure website, equifaxsecurity2017.com, where consumers could provide Equifax with personal information to determine whether their data may have been compromised.
- 37. Equifax also "offered" those impacted by the data breach one year of "complimentary" credit monitoring and identity theft protection, but requiring a consumer's credit card which would be subject to automatic renewal charges if not proactively terminated. Rather than actually attempting to assist the affected consumers, Equifax continued its poor judgment and turned its data breach into a massive marketing opportunity.

C. EQUIFAX'S ONGOING HISTORY OF DATA BREACHES AND LACK OF ADEQUATE PRECAUTIONS.

- 38. Equifax knew or should have known that its system was at-risk for attack based on previous attacks and reports that its internal system had weaknesses.
- 39. Equifax knew of problems with its data security, at least as a result of two substantial data breaches that occurred in 2016 alone. In one, hackers took W-2 tax data from an Equifax subsidiary called TALX; the breach (caused by hackers using personal information to guess client customer questions and ultimately reset their 4-digit PIN and gain access to customers' tax data) went undiscovered from nearly a year. In another, Equifax's W-2 Express website was breached in May 2016 (a result of using alarmingly poor security for the generation of PINs from the

possession was adequately secured and protected. Defendants further owed a duty

to ensure that Equifax's internet security measures were up to date and regularly

27

- 45. Defendants knew Equifax solicited, gathered, and stored the personal and financial data of Plaintiffs and the Class to facilitate credit reports and monitoring. Defendants knew Equifax inadequately safeguarded such information on its computer systems and that hackers routinely attempt to access this valuable data without authorization. Defendants had prior notice that Equifax's systems were inadequate by virtue of the earlier breaches that preceded this one and by security updates and bulletins regarding systems used by Equifax, but continued to maintain those inadequate systems to the ultimate detriment of consumers like Plaintiff. Defendants knew or should have known that a breach of Equifax systems would cause damages to Plaintiff and Defendants had a duty to adequately protect such sensitive personal and financial information
- 46. Plaintiff was a foreseeable victims of any inadequate safety and security practices. Plaintiff had no ability to protect the data that was in Equifax's possession from Defendants' negligent security practices, actions, and choices.
- 47. In addition, Defendants had a duty to timely and adequately disclose to Plaintiff that his PII had been compromised. Such timely disclosure was necessary to allow Plaintiff to take appropriate measures to avoid unauthorized charges to credit or debit card accounts, cancel or change usernames and passwords on compromised accounts, monitor account information and credit reports for fraudulent activity, contact banks or other financial institutions that issue his credit or debit cards, obtain credit monitoring services, and take other steps to mitigate or

- 48. Defendants knew, or should have known, the risks inherent in Equifax collecting and storing the personal and financial information of consumers like Plaintiff, and of the critical importance of providing adequate security of that information.
- 49. Defendants breached their duty to Plaintiff by failing to maintain proper security measures, policies and procedures, and training. Defendants failed to timely notify Plaintiff of the Data Breach, waiting over a month from discovery of the hack to publicly announcing the breach while Equifax executives unloaded stock. Plaintiff has been harmed as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence. Plaintiff will continue to be harmed as a direct and proximate result of Defendants' negligence, including but not necessarily limited to: a) out-of-pocket costs associated with addressing false tax returns filed with the IRS and state tax agencies; b) increased future out of pocket costs in connection with preparing and filing tax returns; c) out-of-pocket costs associated with procuring identity protection and restoration services; d) in the event of future identity theft, out-of-pocket costs associated with repairing credit, reversing fraudulent charges, and other harms; and e) lost productivity and enjoyment as a result of time spent monitoring, addressing and correcting future consequences of the Data Breach.
- 50. Holding Defendants accountable for their negligence will further the policies underlying negligence law and will require Defendants and encourage similar persons that work with, obtain and retain sensitive consumer personal and financial information to adopt, maintain and properly implement reasonable, adequate and industry-standard security measures to protect such customer information.
- 51. Plaintiff is entitled to money damages for all out-of-pocket costs caused by Defendants' negligence, and has suffered damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION 1 2 (Violation of Unfair Competition Law California Business and 3 **Professional** Code Section 17200, et seq. - Against Equifax) 4 5 Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates by reference all prior allegations as 52. if fully set forth herein. 6 7 53. Equifax engaged in unfair and unlawful business practices in violation 8 of the Unfair Competition Law, Cal. Bus. & Prof. Code § 17200, et seq. ("UCL"). Equifax's acts, omissions, and conduct constitute unfair and unlawful business 10 practices under the UCL. 11 Equifax's practices were unlawful and in violation of Civil Code 54. 12 section 1798.81.5 of the Customer Records Act ("CRA") because Equifax failed to 13 take reasonable measures in protecting Plaintiff's PII. 14 Equifax's practices were unlawful and in violation of Civil Code 55. section 1798.82 of the CRA because Equifax failed to timely or adequately disclose 15 that Plaintiff's PII had been breached by hackers. 16 Equifax's acts, omissions, and conduct also constitute "unfair" business 17 56. 18 acts or practices because they offend public policy and constitute immoral, 19 unethical, and unscrupulous activities that caused substantial injury, to Plaintiff and 20 others. The gravity of harm resulting from Equifax's conduct outweighs any 21 potential benefits attributable to the conduct and there were reasonably available 22 alternatives to further Equifax's legitimate business interests. 23 57. Equifax has exclusive knowledge about the extent of the Data Breach, 24 including during the days and weeks following the Data Breach. 25 58. As a direct and proximate result of Equifax's unlawful and unfair business practices as alleged herein, Plaintiff has suffered injury in fact. Plaintiff has 26 27 been injured in that his personal and financial PII has been compromised, subject to 28 identity theft, identity fraud, and/or is at risk for future identity theft and fraudulent

activity on their financial accounts.

1

2

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

As a direct and proximate result of Equifax's unlawful and unfair business practices as alleged herein, Plaintiff already suffers from identity theft, identity and financial fraud, and/or a continuing increased risk of identity theft and financial fraud due to the compromise, publication, and/or unauthorized use of his financial PII. Plaintiff has also been injured by, among other things: (1) the loss of the opportunity to control how his PII is used; (2) the compromise, publication, and/or theft of their PII; (3) out-of-pocket costs associated with the prevention, detection, and recovery from identity theft and/or unauthorized use of financial accounts; (4) lost opportunity costs associated with effort expended and the loss of productivity from addressing and attempting to mitigate the actual and future consequences of the breach, including but not limited to efforts spent researching how to prevent, detect, contest and recover from identity fraud; (5) costs associated with the ability to use credit and assets frozen or flagged due to credit misuse, including complete credit denial and/or increased costs to use credit, credit scores, credit reports and assets; (6) unauthorized use of compromised PII to open new financial accounts; (7) tax fraud and/or other unauthorized charges to financial accounts and associated lack of access to funds while proper information is confirmed and corrected; (8) the continued risk to his PII and the PII of family members which remain in Equifax's possession and are subject to further breaches so long as Equifax fails to undertake appropriate and adequate measures to protect the PII in its possession; and (9) future costs in terms of time, effort and money that will be expended to prevent, detect, contest, and repair the impact of the PII compromised as a result of the Data Breach for the remainder of the Plaintiff's life.

60. As a result of Equifax's violations of the UCL, Plaintiff is entitled to injunctive relief, including, but not limited to an order preventing Equifax from engaging in the negligent practices that lead to the Data Breach.

1	PRAYER FOR RELIEF	
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment against Defendants as follows:	
3	A. A finding that Defendant breached their duty to safeguard and protect	
4	Plaintiff's PII which was compromised in the Data Breach;	
5	B. An award of damages against Defendants and in favor of Plaintiff, including	
6	actual damages, punitive damages, and/or statutory damages according to	
7	proof, but at least \$500,000;	
8	C. Award equitable, injunctive, and declaratory relief as appropriate;	
9	D. For attorney fees, costs of suit and prejudgment and post-judgment interest, as	
10	provided under applicable law; and	
11	E. For such other, further, and/or different relief, in law or equity, as the Court	
12	may deem just and proper.	
13	DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY	
14	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury, including pursuant to Federal Rule of	
15	Civil Procedure 38(b), on all issues where a right to such trial exists.	
16		
17	Dated: September 15, 2017 RAINES FELDMAN LLP	
18		
19	Dr., / / F. d. C. C.	
20	By: <u>/s/ Erik S. Syverson</u> ERIK S. SYVERSON	
21	Attorneys for Plaintiff Carson Block	
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	-16-	

COMPLAINT